

DATEISSUED: June 15, 2005 REPORT NO. CCDC-05-26

ATTENTION: Chair and Members of the Redevelopment Agency

Docket of June 21, 2005

SUBJECT: Lofts @ 722 Market- Environmental Investigation Agreement -- East

Village Redevelopment District of the Expansion Sub Area of the

Centre City Redevelopment Project

REFERENCE: Site Map

Fiscal Impact - None.

Environmental Investigation Agreement

STAFF CONTACT: Eli Sanchez, Senior Project Manager

SUMMARY

Issue - Should the Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") approve the proposed
Environmental Investigation Agreement ("EIA") with OliverMcMillanFoster Market 7 th , LLC ("Developer") for the proposed Lofts @ 722 Market project?
Staff Recommendation - That the Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") approve the
proposed EnvironmentalInvestigationAgreement("EIA")withOliverMcMillanFoster Market 7 th , LLC ("Developer") for the proposed Lofts @ 722 Market project.
Centre City Development Corporation Recommendation - On May 25, 2005, the
CorporationBoard voted 6-0 in favorof the proposed EIA with OliverMcMillan, LLC
Centre City Advisory Committee (CCAC)/Project Area Committee (CCAC)
Recommendation - This item was not heard by the CCAC/PAC.
Other Recommendations - On March 1, 2005, the Agency denied a proposed ENA
with OliverMcMillan and indicated a sensitivity to the potential use of eminent domain for the acquisition of the Cline Parcel pursuant to a DDA. The EIA was a part of the proposed ENA. The Agency further directed staff and the developer to consider an on-site affordable housing component as part of the project.

BACKGROUND

The proposed project, subject to the proposed EIA, advances the Visions and Goals of the Centre City Community Plan and the Objectives of the Centre City RedevelopmentProject by:

- Contributing to the elimination of blight in the Centre City Redevelopment Project area through the remediation of land contaminated with hazardous substances and the demolition and redevelopment of underutilized properties; and
- Providing an attractive, contemporary architecture and enhanced urban design to stimulate the continued quality development within the East Village.

The Lofts @ 722 Market is located in the East Village District on the southwest corner of the block bounded by Market and G streets and Seventh and Eighth avenues ("Site"). The Site is currently improved with a 10,000 square-foot, surface parking lot owned by the Developer, and a warehouse on the 5,000 square-foot Cline Parcel. The Site is surrounded on the north by the 35,000 square-foot site of The Lofts @ 677 Seventh Avenue, an approved project under construction; the historic Hotel Arthurbuilding to the east; a surface parking lot (Park-it-on-Market South) to the south; and the Park-it-on-Market North parking structure to the west. The Site is zoned Mixed Use Residential Emphasis, which requires that projects provide at least 80% residential land uses.

In August 2001, the Developer proposed to develop and construct an approximately 198,000 square-foot, mixed-use, residential/commercial project on a 45,000 square-foot site located on the block bounded by Seventh and Eighth avenues and Market and "G" streets. See Site Map (Attachment A). At that time, the Developer owned a 10,000 square-foot parcel and was under contract to purchase an additional 25,000 square feet. The Developer had unsuccessfully attempted to purchase the 5,000 square-foot Cline Parcel (APN 535-105-05) and the 5,000 square-foot Leon Parcel (APN 535-105-04). The Developer requested that the Agency assist with the purchase of the Cline and Leon Parcels at the sole cost and expense of the Developer and proposed to advance the necessary funds to purchase the property.

On September 5, 2001, the Projects Committee directed staff to initiate the owner participation process for the Leon and Cline Parcels. The Leons sold their property through a negotiated private sale to the Developer. The Clines indicated that they were interested in submitting an alternative development proposal and, per the Agency's Rules Governing Participation by PropertyOwners and Preference for Businesses to Reenter in the Centre City Redevelopment Project ("OP Rules"), were provided with an opportunity to submit an alternative proposal prior to January 15, 2002; however, the Clines did not

submit an alternative proposal.

Notwithstandingthe owner participation process, the Clines and the Developerentered into negotiations for the private purchase of the Cline Parcel by the Developer. The negotiations for the purchase and sale of the Cline Parcel are complicated by the fact that electro-plating and chrome-plating operations were previous tenants of the Cline Parcel.

In 1990 the Clines had an environmental consultant (Applied Consultants) performa Phase I Investigation for the property. It appears this Phase I was conducted in response to an inquiry from a party interested in purchasing the property. The Phase I revealed that the site had been the historic location of a chrome plating shop for approximately 30 years. Samples taken of the surface soil beneath the building, and from interior samples inside the building indicated elevated levels of hexavalent chromium (a carcinogen). Applied Consultants submitted their report to the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health ("DEH").

On March 18, 1992, the DEH sent an official notice directing the propertyowner to:1) take immediate action to prevent the further release of contaminants to the environment and to protect public health and safety; 2) to submit a work plan detailing how assessment of the site will occur;3)implement the workplan once it has been approved by the DEH; and 4) to complete any site clean up as might be requested by the DEH. It appears that there has been no action by the Clines in response to this letter, and it further appears that the County DEH did not pursue the issue.

The Agency does not own or control the Cline Parcel, nor does it own or control any of the surroundingproperties. OliverMcMillancontrolsthesurroundingproperties and they clearly have knowledge of this issue. Unless the Agency has a reasonable expectation that the property is adversely affecting the health and safety of the community, it should exercise caution before "alerting" regulatory agencies of outstanding corrective action notices. If the Agency were ever to proceed down the path of eminent domain (which is not recommended as part of this proposed EIA), such pre-condemnation action could potentially expose the Agency to claims relating to interference with the property.

After a diligent and good faith effort, the Developer was not able to finalize the purchase of the Cline Parcel. Subsequently, the Developer renewed his request that the Agency assist with the purchase of the Cline Parcel.

Due to the breakdown in the negotiations with the Clines and the complications associated with the Cline Parcel, the Developer revised the proposed scope of development into two phases. Phase 1 provides for the private assembly, development and construction of the Lofts @ 677 Seventh Avenue (formerly G Lofts West), a mixed-use residential development of 148 apartment units on a 30,000 square-foot parcel. The Phase 2 program provides for the Lofts @ 722 Market (formerly Market Street Lofts), a mixed-use residential development of 38 apartment units on a 15,000 square-foot parcel. The Phase

2 program now consists of approximately 105 units.

On October 29, 2002, the Projects Committee directed staff to work with the Developer to negotiate an agreement whereby the Agency would employ its best efforts to acquire the Cline Parcel and sell the Cline Parcel to the Developer for the development and construction of The Lofts @ 722 Market pursuant to a DDA.

Also, in October 2002, Centre City Development Corporation ("CCDC") issued a 60-day notice under the Polanco Redevelopment Act (California Health & Safety Code Section 33459(h)) ("Polanco Notice") to the Clines. The Polanco Notice advised the Clines that, by virtue of their ownership of the property, they had been identified as a responsible party for the release of hazardous substances within a redevelopment project area.

The Polanco Notice further provided that the Clines had 60 days to respond and provide a remedial action plan ("RAP") and schedule to remedyor remove the release in a manner acceptable to the Agency. In lieu of submitting such a plan and schedule, the Clines indicated that they were willing to negotiate a Cooperative Remediation Agreement ("CRA"), whereby the Clines would agree that CCDC would prepare all necessary plans and remediate the Cline Parcel as necessary for the proposed project. The CRA also provided that the Clines would reimburse CCDC for all of its costs to assess and remedy the Cline Parcel. On April16, 2003, the Cline's legal counsel, Mr. Sensenig, represented that the Ownerswere prepared to execute the final form of the negotiated CRA. However, on June 9, 2003, Mr. Sensenig informed CCDC that the Clines had decided not to enter into the CRA.

On June 20, 2003, CCDC notified the Clines that the 60-day time period provided by the Polanco Notice had expired without the Clines submitting a RAP or entering into a CRA. The letter notice also indicated that CCDC continued to maintain a strong interest in the potential redevelopment of the Cline Parcel and requested access to the property to undertake investigation activities on the propertyto prepare all work plans for assessment and remediation of the property under the Polanco Redevelopment Act.

Staff has reviewed several potential investigative options and supports the Developer's request for the Agency to assist with the assembly of the Site for development and construction of the proposed project pursuant to the terms and conditions of a DDA. Remediation of the Cline Parcel would be coordinated with CCDC and the Developer to maximize any potential benefits to the Clines and/or CCDC by performing remediation in conjunction with the development of the project.

On October 6, 2004, the Projects Committee considered a proposed ENA with OliverMcMillan. At that meeting, the owner of the Cline Parcel requested that they be allowed to submit an application for the Cal REUse Loan program and to perform the necessary environmental site assessment of the Cline Parcel. The Committee voted to allow the owners of the Cline Parcel thirty (30) days to submit an application for a Cal

REUse Loan to finance the environmental site assessment and preparation of a Property Mitigation Plan for the Cline Parcel.

On November 3, 2004, Geoffry Cline, representing the owners of the Cline Parcel, indicated that the Clines had determined that they wanted to develop the 5,000 square-foot Cline Parcel independent from the OliverMcMillan proposed Lofts@722 Market. Mr. Cline further indicated that the Cal REUse Loan program was not suited to their plans and that they wished to pursue a more conventional loan.

The owner participation process, pursuant to the OP Rules, has been complied with and no competing proposals have been received. The OP Rules provide that the Agency has the right to select from a proposal among those available for approval, to furthernegotiate a proposed development, or elect not to take any furtheraction¹. The Clines were provided with an opportunity to submit an alternative development proposal and did not do so.

On October 29, 2002, the Projects Committee considered whether the Lofts @ 722 Market advances the visions and goals of the Centre City Community Plan and objectives of the Centre City Redevelopment Project. At that time, the Projects Committee exercised its authority under the OP Rules and the Redevelopment Plan to establish a preference for the Oliver McMillan project on the Site and directed staff to negotiate a DDA for the development of the project.

The proposed development of the Cline Parcel by the Clines is in conflict with the reasonable priorities established by the Projects Committee subsequent to the owner participation process and the selection of the Developer to negotiate a DDA for the development of the Developer's proposed project.

On December 1, 2004 and January 26, 2005, the Committee and the Corporation Board respectfully recommended approval of an ENA with the Developer to provide for an EIA and continued negotiations towards a DDA for the development and construction of the proposed Lofts @ 722 Market.

On March 1, 2005, the Agency considered the ENA. At that time the Agency indicated a sensitivity to the potential use of eminent domain for the acquisition of the Cline Parcel pursuant to a DDA. The Agency further directed staff and the Developer to consider an on-site affordable housing component as part of the project. The Agency denied approval of the ENA as proposed.

On March 23, 2005, the Corporation Board requested that the Lofts @ 722 Market project

obligated to move forward with any of the proposals.

The owner participation notification process provides that the property owner receive a letter discussing the Agency's interest in the redevelopment of their property, discusses the current developer's proposal and solicits the owner's interest in redeveloping their property. The owner has 30 days from receipt of the letter to respond as to their interest in submitting a redevelopment proposal. If the propertyowner desires to submit such a proposal, the owner has an additional 90 days to submit a written proposal describing the development concept and financing for their proposed project. A selection process would then be initiated. The Board/Agency is not

return to the Real Estate (Planning & Projects) Committee for further consideration.

DEVELOPMENT TEAM

FIRM	ROLE	CONTACT
OliverMcMillanFoster Market 7 th , LLC	Developer	Jim McMillan/Jim Reynolds (Privately Owned)
Public	Architect	James Brown (Privately Owned)

The Developer has completed several projects in the area, including the Gaslamp Theatres, Ralphs Grocery Store, Horton-Fourth Apartments, and most recently, the Buca di Beppo Building (Sixth Avenue and "G" Street). The Developer is currently completing the construction of a 97-unit, market-rate, apartment building with approximately 8,000 square feet of commercial/retail space located on the block bounded by 6th, 7th, "F" and "G" streets.

DISCUSSION

Scope of the Project - The proposed EIA provides for a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment ("Site Assessment") to determine the feasibility of including the Cline Parcel in the assembly of the 15,000 square-footSite forthe development and construction of the Lofts @ 722 Market, a mixed-useresidential development. The proposed project has been revised to increase the density and FAR to be in conformance with the anticipated changes to the Community Plan. The project consists of an approximately 90,000 square-foot, eight-story, building with approximately78,000 square feet of residential space containing approximately 105 apartments. The residential units will be located above approximately 9,000 square feet of retail space. Parking for the project would be provided by three levels of underground parking.

The previous configuration anticipated 38 apartments above approximately 12,700 square feet of ground-floorretail in a four-story building with a floor area ratio ("FAR") of 2.93; and a density of 111 units/acre with a two-level, below-grade parking structure with 60 spaces.

<u>Project Budget & Financing</u> - The Developer would apply for an approximately \$60,000 CalReUse Loan to pay for the Site Assessment and characterization and associated regulatoryoversightcosts for the Cline Parcel. If the loan is awarded, the Developer would be responsible for repaying the loan at the end of the term, with the exception of the portion of the loan required to be repaid by the Agency as the Agency's required matching share per the Loan Program. If the Agency and the Developer determine that it is infeasible to include the Cline Parcel in the project as a result of the Site Assessment, the loan to the Developer is forgiven.

CCDC is a Strategic Partner in this program and is authorized to administer loans under the terms of the program. Also as a Strategic Partner, CCDC is required to fund a 15% Match to any approved loan. In 2002, CCDC encumbered \$150,000 for the purpose of providing a Match for up to \$1.0 million in loans.

<u>Disposition of Property</u> - The EIA provides that the Cline Parcel will remain in the ownership of the Clines during the investigation period. Upon completion of the Site Assessment work, the environmental consultant will prepare recommendations regarding preparation of a Property Mitigation Plan ("PMP"), negotiations with the appropriate regulatoryagency for the approval of the PMP, and an estimate of the cost of remediation. Based upon review of the Site Assessment results and the estimate of remediation costs, the Agency and Developer will determine the feasibility of including the Cline Parcel in the proposed Lofts @ 722 Market project. However, the proposed EIA does not contain a component for utilizing eminent domain to acquire the property.

<u>Participation by Agency</u> - The Agency will seek access to the Cline Parcel for the purpose of performing the Site Assessment. If access cannot be obtained through voluntary agreement with the Clines, the Agency will, if necessary, seek access to the Cline Parcel pursuant to its authority under the eminent domain law (Cal. Code of Civil Procedure Section 1245.010 et seq.) to perform tests and studies (not requiring demolition of the building on the Cline Parcel). Upon selection of an appropriate environmental consultant and access to the Property, the Agency will cause the Site Assessment work to be performed.

<u>Proposed Schedule of Performance</u> - Upon approval of the EIA, the Agency would seek voluntary access to the Cline Parcel. If not successful in obtaining voluntary access, the Agency, if necessary, will within 30 days file a claim under its authority of eminent domain to seek access to the Cline Parcel to perform the Site Assessment.

<u>ProjectBenefits</u> - The Site Assessment will contribute to the potential elimination of blight through the remediation of land contaminated with hazardous substances and the demolition and redevelopment of underutilized properties. If the inclusion of the Cline Parcel in the Lofts @ 722 Market project is found to be feasible, the assembled Site provides for a more efficient Site with an increased density, redevelops a toxic 5,000 square-footBrownfield property and underutilized 10,000 square-foot parcel located on a prime intersection at the corner of Seventh Avenue and Market Street. The proposed mixed-use residential project provides an attractive, contemporary architecture and provides for an enhanced urban design to stimulate the continued quality development in the East Village Redevelopment District and assists with the elimination of blight in the Centre City Redevelopment Project Area.

The Project is consistent with the Centre City Planned District Ordinance ("PDO"), Centre City Redevelopment Plan and it also implements CCDC's Five-Year Strategic Plan.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The following is a summary of the project:

Type of housing (condo/rental; single- multi-family)	Rental apartments	
Total number of units / total square feet	90-105 / 78,000 sq. ft.	
Number of bedrooms/unit (and unit size)	87 Studios 12 1-bedroom 6 2-bedrooms	
Retail Space	9,700 s.f./Ground Floor	
Parking	100 spaces total .95/unit	
Stories/Height	8/100 ft.	
Floor Area Ratio/Permitted	6.0 / 6.0	
Projected rental rates	Market rate for all units	
Land Area (i.e., full block, half block, or number of square feet)	15,000 sq. ft.	
Project density (in units/acre)	290 units/acre	
Number of residential units demolished	1 commercial warehouse used as a residence	

PROJECT ANALYSIS AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

<u>EnvironmentalImpact</u>-Under the 1992 Master Environmental ImpactReport ("MEIR") and Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report ("SEIR"), an Environmental Secondary Study is prepared for all developments in the Centre City area in order to evaluate the project's compliance with the Community Plan and PDO and, therefore, the findings and conclusions of the MEIR and SEIR. Unless the project is found to be out of compliance with those planning documents, no further environmental review is required.

SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

The owner participation process, pursuant to the OP Rules, has been complied with and no competing proposals have been received. The Agency has the authority to assist with the assembly of the Site for the development of the project proposed by the Developer.

The EIA would provide for the Site Assessment of the Cline Parcel, which is necessary to determine the feasibility of the future residential development of the 15,000 square-foot Site proposed for the Lofts@722 Market project; therefore, staff recommends Agency approval of the proposed EIA.

Respectfully submitted,			
Eli Sanchez Senior Project Manager	Approved:	Peter J. Hall President	

Attachments:

A - Site Map

B - Environmental Investigation Agreement

S:\Sanchez\Wpdata\Eli\OMEASTVILLAGE\MARKET ST LOFTS\EIA\AGENCY MEMO2 06-21-05.wpd